

Generation of arbitrary quantum state in a high-Q cavity

XuBo Zou, K. Pahlke and W. Mathis

Institute TET, University of Hannover,
Appelstr. 9A, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

We present a scheme to generate arbitrary superposition of the Fock states in a high-Q cavity . This proposal is based on a sequence of laser pulses, which are tuned appropriately to control transitions on Fock state. It is shown that N laser pulses are needed to generate a pure state with a phonon number limit N . PACS number(s): 03.67.-a, 03.67.Hk.

The generation of nonclassical states was extensively studied in the past theoretically and experimentally. The first significant advances were made in quantum optics by demonstrating antibunched light [1] and squeezed light [2]. A number of scheme has been proposed for the purpose of generating various special nonclassical state[3]-[19] in context of cavity QED, trapped ion. In particular, several scheme for generating arbitrary single mode quantum state[12]-[14] have also been proposed and generalized to generate arbitrary two mode quantum state[15]-[19]. Experimental realization of highly nonclassical state, such as Fock states, squeezed and Schrödinger cat states of one dimensional vibrational motion of a trapped ion and cavity field have been reported [21, 20]. Among of the above schemes for single mode quantum state preparation

$$\sum_{n=0}^N C_n |n\rangle . \quad (1)$$

three basic schemes have been proposed. In the schemes proposed by Vogel et al [12], measurements have to be performed on the atom in order to generate a desired pure state, which lead to finite probability of success. The probability of detecting atom in ground state decrease with an increase in the photon numbers. The method described in Ref[13] is based on the adiabatic transfer of atomic ground state Zeeman coherence, so that the number of photon is limited by the number of available Zeeman levels. In Ref[14], a appropriate time-dependent cavity QED interaction is designed to create the desired field state, which need $2N$ operations to generate a pure state with a phonon number limit N . The reduction of the number of operation is important for further experimental realization. Notice that the quantum state (1) involves N independent complex coefficients. The purpose of this paper is to present a scheme to generate

quantum state (1) with N quantum operations. We will show that each coefficient of quantum state (1) corresponds to only one laser pulse of this most efficient quantum state generation scheme.

In order to describe our scheme, we consider the a high-Q cavity partially filled by a Kerr medium with a series of coherent pulses injected into it, whose Hamiltonian is given by[7]

$$H = \Delta_\omega a^\dagger a + \chi a^{\dagger 2} a^2 + g e^{i\varphi} a^\dagger + g e^{-i\varphi} a \quad (2)$$

Here $a^\dagger a$ and a are creative and annihilation operators. The parameters Δ_ω and χ describe the detuning of the cavity from the driving frequency and the Kerr nonlinearity strength, respectively. The φ and g are phase and amplitude of driving laser field, respectively. From a practical point of view, parameter Δ_ω , φ and g are adjustable in the experiment to give control over the cavity field. It is noticed that Kerr nonlinear has been used to generate Fock state from vacuum state in Ref[7]. Recently an optical Fock-state synthesizer was proposed to synthesize Fock state and their superpositions from a coherent state[?]. Here we present a scheme to generate arbitrary quantum state(1) via adjusting frequency of driving laser field. We choose frequency of laser driving field to make detuning Δ_ω to satisfy the condition $\Delta_\omega = 2i\chi$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - 1$. Thus Hamiltonian of the system can be written in the form

$$H = \chi(a^\dagger a - i)(a^\dagger a - i - 1) + g e^{i\varphi} a^\dagger + g e^{-i\varphi} a \quad (3)$$

Here we have neglected a constant term. Using the Fock state $|n\rangle$, $n = 0, 1 \dots$ the Hamiltonian(3) take the form

$$H = \sum_n \chi(n - i)(n - i - 1)|n\rangle\langle n| + g_i e^{i\varphi_i} \sum_{n=0} \sqrt{n+1}|n+1\rangle\langle n| + g_i e^{-i\varphi_i} \sum_{n=0} \sqrt{n+1}|n\rangle\langle n+1| \quad (4)$$

It is noticed that the coefficients of the Fock state $|i\rangle$ and $|i+1\rangle$ are equal to zero in the first line of above equation. We now consider the condition $\chi \gg Jg$. In this case, we apply the rotating wave approximation and discard the rapidly oscillating term in the Hamiltonian (4) and obtain the effective interaction

$$H = e^{i\varphi_i} \Omega_i |i+1\rangle\langle i| + e^{-i\varphi_i} \Omega_i |i\rangle\langle i+1| \quad (5)$$

here $\Omega_i = g_i \sqrt{i+1}$. In order to generate any superposition of Fock state(1), we consider the situation in which the cavity field is prepared in the vacuum state

$$\Psi_{initial} = |0\rangle \quad (6)$$

In the following we show, that each term of equation(1) can be generated by one laser pulse. First, we derive the cavity field with laser frequency $\Delta_\omega = 0$, after an interaction time τ_0 , the system evolves into

$$\Psi_1 = \cos(\Omega_0 \tau_0) |0\rangle + i \sin(\Omega_0 \tau_0) e^{i\varphi_0} |1\rangle \quad (7)$$

we choose the amplitude (or interaction time τ_0) of the laser field in such a way that the following conditional are fulfilled

$$\cos(\Omega_0 \tau_0) = C_0 \quad (8)$$

we obtain

$$\Psi_1 = C_0|0\rangle + ie^{\varphi_0}\sqrt{1-C_0^2}|1\rangle \quad (9)$$

without loss of generality, we have assumed that C_0 is a real number. We then tune the laser frequency to satisfy $\Delta_\omega = 2\chi$. After an interaction time τ_1 , the system is evolved into

$$\Psi_2 = C_0|0\rangle + ie^{\varphi_0}\sqrt{1-C_0^2}(\cos(\Omega_1\tau_1)|1\rangle + i\sin(\Omega_1\tau_1)e^{\varphi_1}|2\rangle) \quad (10)$$

we adjust the amplitude (or interaction time τ_1) of the second laser field and phase of the laser field of the first laser field to satisfy

$$ie^{\varphi_0}\sqrt{1-C_0^2}\cos(\Omega_1\tau_1) = C_1 \quad (11)$$

the state become

$$\Psi_2 = C_0|0\rangle + C_1|1\rangle + i^2e^{i\varphi_0+i\varphi_1}\sqrt{1-C_0^2-|C_1|^2}|2\rangle \quad (12)$$

If this procedure is done for the m -th time, the quantum state of the system is

$$\Psi_m = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} C_l|l\rangle + i^m \exp(i \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \varphi_l) \sqrt{1 - \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} |C_l|^2} |m\rangle \quad (13)$$

We now consider the $(m+1)$ -th operation by choosing $\Delta_\omega = 2m\chi$. After interaction τ_m , the quantum state becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{m+1} = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} C_l|J, l\rangle + i^m \exp(i \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \varphi_l) \sqrt{1 - \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} |C_l|^2} (\cos(\Omega_m\tau_m)|m\rangle \\ + i\sin(\Omega_m\tau_m)e^{\varphi_m}|m+1\rangle) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We choose the amplitude (or interaction time t_m) of the $(m+1)$ -th laser pulse and phase of m -th laser field to satisfy

$$i^m \exp(i \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \varphi_l) \sqrt{1 - \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} |C_l|^2} \cos(\Omega_m\tau_m) = C_m \quad (15)$$

After the procedure is performed for N times the system's state definitely becomes state(1).

One of the difficulties of our scheme in respect to an experimental demonstration consists in the requirement on the large Kerr coupling coefficient. Recently, two approaches have been suggested to strongly enhance nonlinearity- cavity quantum electrodynamics[22] and electromagnetically induced transparency[23]. These result indicate that giant Kerr nonlinearity can be obtained by methods not too far from present technology. Recently an experiment has been observed that decay time of cavity field is 0.2s[24], which is a long time enough time to store field state. We present a scheme to generate arbitrary superposition of the Fock states of the electromagnetic field in high-Q cavity partially filled by a Kerr medium with a series of coherent pulses injected into it. This proposal is based on a sequence of laser pulses, which are tuned appropriately to control transitions on Fock state. It is shown that N laser pulses are needed to generate a pure state with a phonon number limit N .

References

- [1] See, for a review, H. Paul, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54**, 1061 (1982); R. Loudon, Rep. Prog. Phys. **43**, 913 (1980).
- [2] See, for a review, R. Loudon and P. L. Knight, J. Mod. Opt. **34**, 709 (1987).
- [3] J. I. Cirac, R. Blatt, A. S. Parkins, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 762 (1993).
- [4] J. I. Cirac, A. S. Parkins, R. Blatt, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 556 (1993).
- [5] R. L. de Matos Filho and W. Vogel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 608 (1996).
- [6] J. F. Poyatos, J. I. Cirac, R. Blatt, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1532 (1996).
- [7] J. R. Kuklinski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2507 (1990)
- [8] P. A. Khandokhin, E. A. Ovchinnikov, and E. Yu. Shirokov, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 053807 (2000)
- [9] S. C. Gou, J. Steinbach, and P. L. Knight, Phys. Rev. A **54**, R1014 (1996).
- [10] C. C. Gerry, S. C. Gou, and J. Steinbach, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 630 (1997).
- [11] XuBo Zou, Jaewan Kim, Hai-Woong Lee, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 065801 (2001)
- [12] K. Vogel, V. M. Akulin, and W. P. Schleich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1816 (1993).
- [13] A. S. Parkins, P. Marte, P. Zoller, and H. J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3095 (1993)
- [14] C. K. Law and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 10551058 (1996)
- [15] S. A. Gardiner, J. I. Cirac, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 1683 (1997).
- [16] B. Kneer and C. K. Law, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 2096 (1998).
- [17] G. Drobny, B. Hladky, and V. Buzek, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 2481 (1998)
- [18] S. B. Zheng, Phys. Rev. A **63**, 015801 (2000).
- [19] XuBo Zou, K. Pahlke and W. Mathis, to appear in Phys. Rev. A.
- [20] M. Brune, E. Hagley, J. Dreyer, X. Maitre, A. Maali, C. Wunderlich, J. M. Raimond, and S. Haroche, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 4887 (1996).
- [21] D. M. Meekhof, C. Monroe, B. E. King, W. M. Itano, and D. J. Wineland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 1796 (1996); C. Monroe, D. M. Meekhof, B. E. King, and D. J. Wineland, Science **272**, 1131 (1996).
- [22] Q.A. Turchette, C.J. Hood, W. Lange, H. Mabuchi, and H.J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 4710 (1995). J.-F. Roch, K. Vigneron, Ph. Grelu, A. Sinatra, J.-Ph. Poizat, and Ph. Grangier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 634 (1997). C.J. Hood, M.S. Chapman, T.W. Lynn, and H.J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4157 (1998).

- [23] S.E. Harris, J.E. Field, and A. Imamolu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 1107 (1990). H. Schmidt and A. Imamolu, Opt. Lett. 21, 1936 (1996). M.D. Lukin and A. Imamolu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 1419 (2000).
- [24] B. T. H. Varcoe, S. Brattke, M. Weidinger, H. Walther, Nature 403, 743(2000)